

Appendix E. Aquatic Resources Delineation Report and Preliminary Jurisdictional Wetland Delineation

State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project



Aquatic Resource Delineation

SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

04-01-SM-PM 27.5/34.8

EA 04-0Q130 / Project ID 04-1800-0053

November 2021



State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project

Aquatic Resource Delineation

SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
04-01-SM-PM 27.5/34.8
EA 04-0Q130 / Project ID 04-1800-0053

November 2021

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Department of Transportation, District 4

Prepared By:



Date: November 2, 2021

Joseph Bandel, Biologist
(510) 878-0497
AECOM, San Jose Office
4 North Second Street, Suite 675
San Jose, CA 95113


Prepared By:



Date: November 2, 2021

Dillon Lennebacker, Environmental Planner
(510) 874-3035
AECOM, Oakland Office
300 Lakeside Dr., Oakland, CA 94590


Approved By:



Date: November 2, 2021

Jessica Chavez, Associate Environmental Planner
(510) 390-2537
Office of Biological Science and Permitting
California Department of Transportation

Approved By:



Date: November 22, 2021

Gregory Pera, Branch Chief
(510) 286-5617
Office of Biological Science and Permitting
California Department of Transportation

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document can be made available in Braille, in large print, on audiocassette, or on computer disk. To obtain a copy in one of these alternate formats, please call or write to Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Pera, 111 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94612. (510) 286-6025 or use the California Relay Service (800) 735-2929 (TTY to Voice), (800) 735-2922 (Voice to TTY) or 711.

Table of Contents

Summary.....	1
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Project Description	1
1.1.1 Project Purpose and Need.....	1
1.1.2 Project Description	1
1.2 Project Location	3
1.2.1 Biological Study Area	3
1.3 Environmental Setting.....	3
1.3.1 Climate	22
1.3.2 Hydrology	22
1.3.3 Geology and Soils	24
1.3.4 Plant Communities and Habitat Types	24
1.4 Regulatory Setting.....	46
1.4.1 Federal Regulation	46
1.4.2 State Regulation	47
1.4.3 Aquatic Resources Definitions.....	49
Chapter 2: Study Methods.....	51
2.1 Desktop Review	51
2.2 Field Assessment and Verification	51
2.2.1 Delineation of Wetlands.....	52
2.2.2 Delineating Other Waters of the United States	54
2.2.3 Delineating Other State Waters and Riparian Woodlands.....	54
2.2.4 Field Data Collection	54
2.3 Limitations that May Influence Results.....	91
Chapter 3: Results.....	92
3.1 Potential Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters of the United States	92
3.1.1 Perennial, Ephemeral, and Intermittent Other Waters	92
3.1.2 Wetlands.....	94
3.2 Culverted Waters	94
3.3 Potential Coastal Commission Wetlands and Other Waters of the State	95
Chapter 4: Summary of Findings.....	97
Chapter 5: References	99

List of Appendices

- Appendix A – Photographs of Representative Wetlands and Waters in the Biological Study Area
- Appendix B – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Datasheet Forms
- Appendix C – Plant List

List of Tables

Table 1	Soil Series and Selected Characteristics	25
Table 2	Aquatic Resource Delineation Survey Dates and Personnel	51
Table 3	Plant Indicator Status Categories	53
Table 4	Potentially Jurisdictional Waters of the United States in the Biological Study Area	93
Table 5	Culverted Waters of the United States in the Biological Study Area	95
Table 6	Coastal Commission Wetlands in the Biological Study Area	95
Table 7	Culverted Waters of the State in the Biological Study Area	96
Table 8	Other Waters of the State in the Biological Study Area	96
Table 9	Jurisdictional Status of Aquatic Resources in Waters of the United States in the Biological Study Area	97
Table 10	Jurisdictional Status of Aquatic Resources in Waters of the State in the Biological Study Area	98

List of Figures

Figure 1	Project Vicinity	2
Figure 2	Project Work Areas	4
Figure 3	Watersheds and Streams in the Biological Study Area	23
Figure 4	Soils in the BSA	27
Figure 5	Wetlands and Waters of the United States	55
Figure 6	Wetlands and Waters of the United States, Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands	73

Acronyms List

BSA	Biological Study Area
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CCA	Coastal Commission Act
CCC	California Coastal Commission
CCW	Coastal Commission Wetland
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWUS	Culverted Waters of the United States
CWOS	culverted waters of the State
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
°F	Fahrenheit
FAC	facultative plants
FACU	facultative upland plants
FACW	facultative wetland plants
FGC	Fish and Game Code
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
in/hr	inches per hour
NHD	National Hydrography Dataset
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NWPR	Navigable Waters Protection Rule
OBL	obligate wetland plants
OWOS	other waters of the State
OWUS	other waters of the United States
PCA	Porter-Cologne Act
PM	post mile
Project	State Route 1 Multi-Asset Road Rehabilitation Project
ROW	right-of-way
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SR	State Route
UPL	upland plants
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

Aquatic Resource Delineation

USGS	United States Geological Survey
VMS	variable message sign
WOS	waters of the State
WOTUS	waters of the United States
WRCC	Western Regional Climate Center
WWUS	wetland waters of the United States

Summary

This aquatic resource delineation report presents the results of a survey for wetlands and other waters of the United States (OWUS) and waters of the State performed in the biological study area (BSA) for the State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project in San Mateo County, California. AECOM biologists formally delineated potential wetlands and OWUS using the routine, onsite methodology described in the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and guidance from the Regional Supplement to the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2010). Potential State waters subject to the jurisdiction of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Coastal Commission (CCC) and California Department of Fish and Wildlife were also documented in accordance with the jurisdiction of those agencies, pursuant to state laws and regulations.

Within the 155.75-acre BSA, 0.448 acre of potential jurisdictional waters of the United States (WOTUS) was identified. Of the total acreage of potential WOTUS identified in the BSA, 0.439 acre is potential OWUS, and 0.009 acre is potential jurisdictional wetlands. Also, 576 linear feet of culverted waters of the U.S. were delineated. In the BSA, 4.30 acres of potential wetlands and riparian habitat were delineated that are subject to CCC jurisdiction.

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is requesting a Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination from USACE based on the information in this report. Caltrans is also requesting a Coastal Development Permit through San Mateo's Local Coastal Program and the City of Half Moon Bay's Local Coastal Program, as applicable.

Chapter 1: Introduction

This report presents the methods and results of an aquatic resource delineation of the State Route (SR) 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project (Project). The delineation will be performed on SR 1 and SR 92 in and just to the north of Half Moon Bay, San Mateo County, California (Figure 1).

1.1 Project Description

1.1.1 Project Purpose and Need

Purpose

The purpose of the Project is to preserve and extend the life of the roadway in a condition that requires only minimal maintenance expenditures. The Project will improve ride quality, upgrade the drainage system, improve roadway safety, enhance pedestrian and bicycle access, and upgrade the traffic system infrastructures.

Need

The overall condition of the pavement is rated as poor. In accordance with the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans') Pavement Condition Report of 2016, the International Roughness Index for this stretch of highway ranges from 100 to 226, exhibiting poor ride quality that, if left untreated, will continue deteriorating and require frequent and costly maintenance. In addition, highway appurtenances and facilities within the limits are worn out or functionally obsolete. The current traffic systems (e.g., guard rails, crash cushions, and drainage) are approaching their end of life and need to be upgraded. The complete street elements, including curb ramps, sidewalk, and crosswalks, need to be upgraded.

1.1.2 Project Description

The Project proposes to:

- rehabilitate the existing pavement;
- replace existing drainage inlets, culverts, and dikes;
- replace existing guardrails with Midwest guardrail systems;
- replacing existing crash cushions;
- upgrade curb ramps;
- implement complete street elements;
- upgrade signal poles;



AECOM, Oakland CA 11/1/2021 USER:sally.sharford PATH: \\va.aecomnet.com\va\AMER\Oakland\LSOAK\01\DCS\Projects\GIS\Projects\Caltrans On-Call\02_Map_Production_and_Reports\ARDR\Figure_1_ProjectVicinity.mxd

○ Caltrans Postmile
 Project Footprint



AECOM, 2021
 ESRI Basemap, 2016
 CPAD, 2020

FIGURE 1
 Project Vicinity

- install conduits;
- install traffic operation system elements (intersection cameras, closed-circuit television cameras, variable message signs [VMS], vehicle maintenance pullouts, and traffic monitoring stations);
- relocate and/or replace utility cabinets; and
- install complete streets elements (e.g., bicycle and pedestrian lanes, intersection improvements, and paved transit stops).

1.2 Project Location

The Project location is on SR 1 in Half Moon Bay, San Mateo County, California (Figure 1), along the coast of the Pacific Ocean.

1.2.1 Biological Study Area

The 155.75-acre biological study area (BSA) for this aquatic resource delineation includes the portion of SR 1 from the Marine Boulevard interchange in Moss Beach (at post mile [PM] 34.8) to just south of Wavecrest Road in Half Moon Bay (at PM 27.5), 7.29 miles. The BSA also includes one discrete location on SR 92, at PM 1.05, where a VMS sign and associated infrastructure is proposed. The BSA generally encompasses the area surrounding SR 1 to the Caltrans right-of-way (ROW) limits on either side; at limited specific locations, the BSA extends beyond the ROW limits to cover proposed upgrades. The ROW line generally provides a buffer around the work activities. An approximate 10-foot buffer was assumed for work that would occur outside the ROW. The Project consists of work activities that will result in some ground disturbance and direct impacts. However, these activities are limited to small, discrete footprints along the corridor; they are anticipated to be completed in relatively short time frames and would not necessitate a large buffer. This aquatic resource delineation was conducted in the BSA to assess potentially jurisdictional features that occur in the Project area (Figure 2).

1.3 Environmental Setting

The delineation will be performed on SR 1 in suburban Half Moon Bay, along the coast of the Pacific Ocean in the western side of San Mateo County, California. In the BSA, SR 1 runs north/south, parallel to the Pacific coast on the coastal plain west of the Santa Cruz mountains that form the spine of the San Francisco Peninsula. Creeks flow west and southwest from the Santa Cruz mountains to the Pacific Ocean across the coastal plain and through the BSA. The northern portion of the BSA is surrounded by the Half Moon Bay Airport to the west and agricultural fields to the east. Farther south, the BSA is surrounded by Pillar Point Harbor, suburban residential development, and commercial developments. There are pockets and corridors of undeveloped vegetated areas adjacent to SR 1, between other land uses. The entire stretch of the BSA is within sight of the Pacific Ocean, but is too far upslope from the ocean to have any tidal influence.



	Caltrans Right-of-way		Drainage Facility, Undiscovered in Field
	Repaving Area		Drainage Facility, Discovered in Field
	Biological Study Area		



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 1 of 18



0 150
Feet

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 2 of 18



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



- - - Caltrans Right-of-way
- Repaving Area
- ▭ Biological Study Area
- Drainage Facility, Discovered in Field
- VMS & MVP
- Transit Accessibility Improvements
- × Guard Rail Locations



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 5 of 18



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



0 150
Feet

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 7 of 18



0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
San Mateo County, CA
PM 27.5/34.8
EA 04-0Q130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 8 of 18



FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 9 of 18



0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
San Mateo County, CA
PM 27.5/34.8
EA 04-0Q130 / Project ID 0418000053

AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 10 of 18





AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021




AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021




FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 14 of 18





AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 16 of 18



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 2
Project Work Areas in the
Biological Study Area
Page 17 of 18



1.3.1 Climate


The BSA has a Mediterranean climate characterized by wet winters and dry summers. Because of Half Moon Bay's proximity to the coast and marine layer influence, the area's high temperatures average much lower than areas a short distance inland; the low temperatures are moderated by the marine influence as well. Average temperatures range from a low of 47 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) to a high of 62°F. The average annual precipitation in Half Moon Bay is approximately 26.17 inches (WRCC 2021). The aquatic resource delineation was conducted at the end of summer of 2021, near the end of the dry season for the area. Precipitation for the 2020/2021 rainy season was well below normal, and was measured at 7.17 inches in San Francisco (32 percent of average precipitation). Normal average precipitation in San Francisco is 22.58 inches. Precipitation the year before (the 2019/2020 rainy season) was also well below normal, at 9.40 inches in San Francisco (42 percent of average precipitation) (DWR 2021).¹ As of September 28, 2021, all of San Mateo County is experiencing extreme drought (D3), according to the United States Drought Monitor (Fuchs 2021). D3 is characterized as "Water is inadequate for agriculture, wildlife, and urban needs; reservoirs are extremely low; hydropower is restricted," among other descriptions.

Overall, the climate conditions were considered to be drier than normal in the BSA during the 2021 field surveys. A dry pattern may continue until sufficient precipitation comes to alleviate drier than normal areas. The current drought may also be part of a longer-term trend toward a drier climate in the Western United States due to global climate change (Columbia University 2020). Nonetheless, wetland indicators for the parameters of hydrology, soil, and hydrophytes could have been affected by the drier than normal conditions during the field survey. Aquatic features were mapped based on conditions as they appeared during field surveys, despite the drier than normal conditions.

1.3.2 Hydrology

Situated on the most western portion of the San Francisco Peninsula, the BSA is in the San Francisco Coastal South Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 8 Watershed and the San Gregorio Creek-Frontal Pacific Ocean HUC 10 Watershed (Figure 3). The BSA spans three HUC 12 watersheds, Denniston Creek-Frontal Pacific Ocean, Arroyo Leon, and Purisima Creek-Frontal Ocean. All the creeks in the area drain in a west-southwest direction, coming from the western slope of the Santa Cruz Mountains. The main drainages that cross the BSA are San Vicente Creek, Denniston Creek, Arroyo de en Medio, Frenchman's Creek, and Pilarcitos Creek.

¹ Reliable precipitation data for the 2020/2021 and 2019/2020 water years were not available for the Half Moon Bay weather station; consequently, data from nearby San Francisco (approximately 17 miles away) were used for analysis.



AECOM, Oakland CA 11/1/2021 USER:sally.sharford PATH: \\va.aecomnet.com\va\AMER\Oakland-USQAK01\DCS\Projects\GIS\Projects\Caltrans-District-4\Map_Production_and_Reports\ARDR\Figure_3_RegionalWatersheds.mxd



FIGURE 3
 Watersheds & Streams in the
 Biological Study Area

Pilarcitos Creek originates on the eastern side of Montara Mountain and flows about 12 miles to the Pacific Ocean at Half Moon Bay. It drains a watershed of approximately 17,900 acres (28 square miles) in San Mateo County. The creek, a source of drinking water for residents of the central coast and San Francisco Bay Area, is diverted at the Pilarcitos Reservoir and Stone Dam complex in the upper watershed. San Vicente Creek, Denniston Creek, Arroyo de en Medio, and Frenchman's Creek are similar to Pilarcitos Creek in that they all originate from the slopes of Montara Mountain and eventually flow west to the Pacific Ocean, but are not sources of drinking water.

1.3.3 Geology and Soils

The online soil survey for Santa Mateo County (NRCS 2021) was used to identify soil series in the BSA. Twenty soil series and/or complexes occur in the BSA. Six of these are listed as hydric soils in California (NRCS 1995b). The soils are from alluvium derived from granite and sedimentary rock. Table 1 lists the soil series and selected characteristics in the BSA. The soil series in the BSA are shown on Figure 4.

1.3.4 Plant Communities and Habitat Types

The majority of the BSA contains highly developed and disturbed habitat types, including pavement, gravel/dirt, various kinds of urban development, landscaped vegetation, agricultural cropland, and ruderal disturbed vegetation. The remaining portions of the BSA include forested plant communities, shrub-dominated plant communities, a herbaceous wetland community, and grassland plant communities. The forested communities include acacia woodland, Monterey cypress stands, Monterey pine woodland, Eucalyptus groves, arroyo willow thickets, and red willow riparian woodland. The shrub-dominated communities include coastal scrub and coyote brush scrub. The grasslands in the BSA were California annual grassland or other ruderal patches of iceplant mats, poison hemlock, fennel, or upland mustards. The identified plant communities come from the List of California Vegetation Alliances (CDFW 2021). These plant communities and habitat types are described in further detail in the following sections.

Acacia Woodland

Blackwood acacia (*Acacia melanoxylon*) is a nonnative tree that dominates these woodlands. Other dominants include red elderberry (*Sambucus racemosa*), panic veldtgrass (*Ehrharta erecta*), cape ivy (*Delairea odorata*), and garden nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus*).

Monterey Cypress Stands

This plant community is dominated by Monterey cypress (*Hesperocyparis macrocarpa*). Other dominant plant species found in this community are cape ivy, California coffeeberry (*Frangula californica*), and California bee plant (*Scrophularia californica*).

Table 1 Soil Series and Selected Characteristics

Symbol	Soil Type	Drainage	Permeability	Landscape Position	Principal Soil Textures	Hydric Soil
BcA	Botella clay loam, nearly level, cool	Well Drained	Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)	Alluvial fans	Clay loam, silty clay loam	No
DcA	Denison clay loam, nearly level	Moderately well drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Flood plains, alluvial fans	Clay, clay loam, loam	No
DdA	Denison clay loam, nearly level, imperfectly drained	Somewhat poorly drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Terraces	Clay, clay loam, loam	Yes, in depressions
DeA	Denison coarse sandy loam, nearly level	Moderately well drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Terraces	coarse sandy loam, clay loam, clay	No
DmA	Denison loam, nearly level	Moderately well drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Terraces	Clay loam, clay, loam	No
DmB	Denison loam, gently sloping	Moderately well drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Terraces	Clay loam, clay, loam	No
DmC	Denison loam, sloping	Moderately well drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Terraces	Clay loam, clay, loam	No
EhB2	Elkhorn sandy loam, gently sloping, eroded	Well drained	Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)	Terraces	sandy clay loam, sandy loam	No
EhE3	Elkhorn sandy loam, moderately steep and steep, severely eroded	Well drained	Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)	Terraces	sandy clay loam, sandy loam	No
FaA	Farallone loam, nearly level	Well drained	Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)	Flood plains, alluvial fans	Sandy loam, loam, stratified coarse sandy loam	Yes, in depressions
FcA	Farallone loam, gently sloping	Well drained	Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)	Flood plains, alluvial fans	Sandy loam, loam, stratified coarse sandy loam	No
FcB	Farallone coarse sandy loam, gently sloping	Well drained	Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)	Flood plains, alluvial fans	Sandy loam, coarse sandy loam, stratified coarse sandy loam	No

Aquatic Resource Delineation

Symbol	Soil Type	Drainage	Permeability	Landscape Position	Principal Soil Textures	Hydric Soil
FcC2	Farallone coarse sandy loam, sloping, eroded	Well drained	Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)	Flood plains, alluvial fans	Sandy loam, coarse sandy loam, stratified coarse sandy loam	Yes, in depressions
FsB	Farallone coarse sandy loam, over coarse sands, gently sloping, seeped	Well drained	Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)	Flood plains, alluvial fans	Coarse sandy loam, sandy loam, gravelly coarse sand	Yes, in alluvial fans
GIE2	Gazos-Lobitos silt loams, steep, eroded	Well drained	Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)	Mountain slopes	Silt loam, bedrock	No
Gu	Gullied land (alluvial soil material)	ND	ND	Flood plains	ND	Yes, in draws
SkB	Soquel loam, gently sloping	Moderately well drained	Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)	Flood plains	Loam, silt loam	No
WmA	Watsonville loam, nearly level	Moderately well drained	Low to moderately low (0.01 to 0.06 in/hr)	Terraces	Loam, clay, sandy clay loam	Yes, in depressions
WmC2	Watsonville loam, sloping, eroded	Moderately well drained	Low to moderately low (0.01 to 0.06 in/hr)	Terraces	Loam, clay, sandy clay loam	No
130	Typic Argiustolls, loamy-Urban land association	Moderately well drained	Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)	Fluviomarine terraces	Sandy clay loam	No

Notes:

in/hr = inches per hour

ND = no data available

Source: NRCS 2021



FIGURE 4
 Soils in the Biological Study Area
 Page 1 of 18



FIGURE 4
 Soils in the Biological Study Area
 Page 2 of 18



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 USDA, 1998
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



FIGURE 4
 Soils in the Biological Study Area
 Page 4 of 18



0 150
Feet

FIGURE 4
Soils in the Biological Study Area
Page 5 of 18




	Caltrans Right-of-way		Soils
	Repaving Area		FaA: Farallone loam
	Biological Study Area		FcA: Farallone coarse sandy loam
			Gu: Gullied land (alluvial soil material)



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 USDA, 1998
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
USDA, 1998
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



Caltrans Right-of-way	Soils
Repaving Area	DeA: Denison coarse sandy loam
Biological Study Area	DmB: Denison loam
	FcB: Farallone coarse sandy loam
	FsB: Farallone coarse sandy loam
	Gu: Gullied land (alluvial soil material)
	WmC2: Watsonville loam



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 USDA, 1998
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021




FIGURE 4
 Soils in the Biological Study Area
 Page 10 of 18



FIGURE 4
 Soils in the Biological Study Area
 Page 11 of 18



	Caltrans Right-of-way	Soils	
	Repaving Area		Cf: Coastal beaches
	Biological Study Area		DcA: Denison clay loam
			DeA: Denison coarse sandy loam
			DmB: Denison loam



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 USDA, 1998
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021





FIGURE 4
Soils in the Biological Study Area
Page 13 of 18




AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 USDA, 1998
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021





FIGURE 4
Soils in the Biological Study Area
Page 16 of 18



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 USDA, 1998
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021




FIGURE 4
Soils in the Biological Study Area
Page 18 of 18

Monterey Pine Woodlands

This plant community is almost entirely composed of Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata*) and usually has an understory of annual grasses.

Eucalyptus Groves

This stand is dominated by blue gum (*Eucalyptus globulus*), which grow to be very large trees and dominate the landscape. Other dominant plants species in this community include cape ivy, California blackberry (*Rubus ursinus*), and red elderberry.

Arroyo Willow Thicket

This plant community is dominated by a dense thicket of arroyo willows (*Salix lasiolepis*) and occurs in riparian areas near waterways, in depressions or in swales where the ground is wetter. Other dominant plant species include red elderberry, creek dogwood (*Cornus sericea*), Pacific willow (*Salix lasiandra*), California blackberry, California coffeeberry, coyote brush (*Baccharis pilularis*), and California aster (*Symphyotrichum chilense*).

Red Willow Riparian Woodland

Red willows (*Salix laevigata*) dominate these riparian woodlands adjacent to waterways. Other plant species co-dominant in this plant community are red elderberry and California blackberry.

Coastal Scrub

This plant community is dominated by shrub species, including coyote brush, California coffeeberry, and poison oak (*Toxicodendron diversilobum*). Other dominant plant species include California blackberry, California aster, and California bee plant.

Coyote Brush Scrub

Coyote brush dominates this plant community. Other dominant plant species include California coffeeberry, California blackberry, and California aster.

California Annual Grassland

This plant community consists of annual grasses and other herbaceous plants, including slender oat (*Avena barbata*), rescue grass (*Bromus catharticus*), brome fescue (*Festuca bromoides*), Italian rye grass (*Festuca perennis*), Bristly ox-tongue (*Helminthotheca echioides*), willow dock (*Rumex transitorius*), coast tarweed (*Madia sativa*), and the pincushion plant (*Scabiosa atropurpurea*).

Ruderal Iceplant Mats, Poison Hemlock, Fennel, and Mustard

These plant communities are ruderal and are dominated by weedy nonnative invasive plant species, including poison hemlock (*Conium maculatum*), fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*), Mediterranean hoary mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*), wild radish (*Raphanus sativus*), and iceplant (*Carpobrotus edulis*).

1.4 Regulatory Setting

This section describes the regulatory framework for and definitions of waters of the United States (WOTUS), of which wetland waters of the United States (WWUS) and other waters of the United States (OWUS) are a subset. This section also addresses the regulation of wetlands and other waters by California state agencies, including the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), and the California Coastal Commission (CCC).

1.4.1 Federal Regulation

United States Army Corps of Engineers

The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has primary federal responsibility for administering regulations WWUS in federal waters under two statutory authorities: the Rivers and Harbors Act (Sections 9 and 10), which governs specified activities in “navigable waters”; and the Clean Water Act (CWA) (Section 404), which regulates the discharge of dredged and fill materials into WOTUS.

USACE and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) define wetlands as “Those areas that are saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for the life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas” (Environmental Laboratory 1987).

Jurisdictional WOTUS include “intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, natural ponds, and wetlands adjacent to any water of the United States” (33 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Section 328). Certain WOTUS are considered “special aquatic sites” because they generally are recognized as having particular ecological value. Such sites include sanctuaries and refuges, mudflats, wetlands, vegetated shallows, and riffle and pool complexes. Special aquatic sites are defined by EPA and may be afforded additional consideration in a project’s permitting process.

USACE typically issues two types of 404 permits: General and Individual. There are two types of General permits: Regional and Nationwide. Regional permits are issued for a general category of activities when they are similar in nature and cause minimal environmental effect. Nationwide permits are issued to allow a variety of minor project activities with no more than minimal effects.

Ordinarily, projects that do not meet the criteria for a Regional or Nationwide Permit may be permitted under one of USACE's Individual permits. There are two types of Individual permits: Standard permits and Letters of Permission. For Individual permits, the USACE decision to approve is based on compliance with EPA's Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines (40 CFR 230), and on whether permit approval is in the public interest. The Guidelines were developed by EPA in conjunction with USACE, and allow the discharge of dredged or fill material into the aquatic system (i.e., WOTUS) only if there is no practicable alternative which would have less adverse effects. The Guidelines state that USACE may not issue a permit if there is a "least environmentally damaging practicable alternative" to the proposed discharge that would have lesser effects on WOTUS, and not have any other significant adverse environmental consequences. WWUS

Navigable Waters Protection Rule

EPA and the Department of the Army published the 2020 Navigable Waters Protection Rule (NWPR) in the Federal Register on April 21, 2020, to finalize a revised definition of "waters of the United States," as regulated under Section 404 of the CWA (USACE and EPA 2020). The rule aimed to streamline the definition so that it includes simple categories of jurisdictional waters, provides clear exclusions for water features that traditionally have not been regulated, and defines terms in the regulatory text that previously were undefined in statute.

However, on June 9, 2021, EPA and USACE under the Biden administration announced their intent to revise the definition WOTUS used by the previous administration (USACE and EPA 2021). The agencies reviewed the NWPR and determined that the rule significantly reduces clean water protections. In response to a U.S. court order on August 31, 2021, the agencies have halted implementation of the NWPR and are interpreting "waters of the United States" consistent with the pre-2015 regulatory regime until further notice (EPA 2021). For purposes of this aquatic resource delineation report, ephemeral drainages and culverted waters are delineated according to the delineation methods that were established prior to the publication of the NWPR.

1.4.2 State Regulation

Due to the complex regulatory framework in coastal California, aquatic resources are regulated by several agencies, including RWQCB, CDFW, and CCC.

Regional Water Quality Control Board

EPA has deferred water quality certification authority to RWQCB under Section 401 of the CWA. A water quality certification or waiver is required for all Nationwide, Regionwide, or Individual permits issued by USACE under Section 404 of the CWA. In California, issuance of water quality certification (or a waiver) is considered a discretionary action, requiring review under the California Environmental Quality Act. RWQCB would be expected to consider impacts on all WWUS and OWUS identified in this report, following submittal of the 401 Certification Permit application.

RWQCB also is authorized to protect and regulate waters of the State (WOS), including wetlands and riparian areas. RWQCB protects the beneficial uses of surface water and groundwater in California under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act and may exercise jurisdiction over discharges into WOS in cases where the waters are excluded from regulation under the federal CWA. Waste Discharge Requirements may be issued by RWQCB for impacts on WOS that are not also WOTUS. WOS are broadly defined and include isolated wetlands. In Section 13050(e), the act defines WOS to mean any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters, within the boundaries of California. Riparian areas are protected because they are integral to the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of WOS.

California Coastal Commission

Wetlands in the coastal zone are regulated by CCC under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 United States Code 1451, et seq.) and the California Coastal Commission Act (Public Resources Code 30000, et seq.). CCC has planning, regulatory, and permitting responsibilities, in partnership with local governments, over all “development” taking place in the coastal zone, a 1.5-million-acre area stretching 1,100 miles along California’s coastline, between the borders with Oregon and Mexico (and around nine offshore islands). The coastal zone extends seaward 3 miles, and its landward boundary varies from several miles inland in places such as the Eel River and the Elkhorn Slough, to as close as a few hundred feet from the shore in other areas. The Project is entirely within the coastal zone and subject to the jurisdiction of the CCC (CCC 2021).

When defining a wetland, USACE and RWQCB use a three-parameter definition to determine whether an area is a potential jurisdictional wetland subject to regulation under the CWA. The three parameters are presence of hydric soil, wetland hydrology, and hydrophytic vegetation. Under normal circumstances (undisturbed conditions), a potentially jurisdictional wetland must have positive wetland indicators of hydric soils, wetland hydrology, and a dominance of hydrophytic vegetation. Positive wetland indicators for these parameters include field indicators and published data (e.g., United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS] lists of hydric soils).

CCC’s definition of a wetland is different in that only one parameter with positive wetland indicators is required to define a wetland area. For example, an area that expresses a dominance of hydrophytic vegetation but lacks field indicators for wetland hydrology or soils would constitute a CCC jurisdictional wetland, but would not be subject to USACE or RWQCB jurisdiction under the CWA.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Under California Fish and Game Code Section 1602, CDFW requires notification prior to beginning any work activity in a river, stream, or lake that may divert or obstruct natural water flow; substantially change the bed, channel or bank; or remove or deposit material into or out of the feature. Any river, stream, or lake includes those that are dry

for periods of time and those that flow year-round. Riparian woodlands adjacent to wetlands, streams, and stormwater features that are not regulated under the CWA may be regulated by CDFW.

Riparian habitat that contains a dominance of hydrophytic plant species but does not meet the USACE hydrology or soils criteria to be considered a WOTUS may still be subject to CDFW regulation. Some willow scrub or other tree-dominated habitats with wetland species could qualify as riparian woodland subject to CDFW 1602 jurisdiction. Where riparian habitat along streams extends beyond the active floodplain to terraces, the outermost limits of the habitat on the terrace is mapped to the canopy edge, or drip line.

1.4.3 Aquatic Resources Definitions

This section provides definitions for the terms that are used in this aquatic resource delineation report to describe the aquatic resources in the Project BSA.

WOTUS: This includes all wetlands and other waters potentially jurisdictional to USACE under Section 404 of the CWA or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

WWUS: WWUS is a subset of WOTUS; it includes all wetlands potentially jurisdictional to USACE, using the three-parameter approach.

OWUS: OWUS is a subset of WOTUS; it includes all other (nonwetland) waters potentially jurisdictional to USACE.

Culverted Waters of the United States (CWUS): CWUS is a subset of WOTUS; it includes all nonwetland waters potentially jurisdictional to USACE that occur in enclosed drainage culverts.

WOS: This category includes all WOTUS, riparian woodlands, Coastal Commission Wetland (CCW), and other state waters that are potentially jurisdictional to RWQCB, CCC, and CDFW.

CCW: CCW is a subset of WOS; it includes all WWUS, as well as areas that meet the one-parameter definition of a wetland and are therefore jurisdictional to CCC under the California Coastal Commission Act.

Riparian Woodlands: A riparian woodland is a subset of WOS; it is a unique plant community consisting of woody plant species growing near a river, stream, lake, or other body of water. Riparian refers to the transition area between a body of water (pond, lake, creek, or river) and the uplands. Characteristic species include black cottonwood, red alder, sycamore, white alder, box elder, creek dogwood, and willow. The riparian woodland is often differentiated from upland areas by its species composition, typically containing plants adapted to (or able to tolerate) occasional or permanent flooding or saturated soils. Riparian woodlands are jurisdictional to RWQCB and CDFW. In general, riparian woodlands are most often CCW as well; the riparian

tree species are usually considered hydrophytic and would therefore satisfy the one-parameter for a CCW.

Other Waters of the State (OWOS): OWOS is a subset of WOS; it includes all OWUS, and other nonwetland waters that are potentially jurisdictional to RWQCB.

Culverted Waters of the State (CWOS): CWOS is a subset of WOS; it includes all CWUS, and other nonwetland waters enclosed in a drainage culvert.

Chapter 2: Study Methods

This section describes the methods used to delineate potential aquatic resources in the BSA subject to federal or state jurisdiction. The AECOM biologists conducting the delineation of aquatic resources performed a desktop review of the BSA, followed by a field investigation. The biologists were knowledgeable of the latest definitions, clarifications, and guidance at that time regarding jurisdictional WOTUS, as provided by the NWPR.

2.1 Desktop Review

Prior to the field investigation, a desktop review was conducted to compile information on the existing and historical physical and biological conditions of the BSA. The following database and mapping resources were reviewed:

- NRCS Soil Survey Mapping (NRCS 2021)
- National Wetlands Inventory (USFWS 2021)
- National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) accessed via WATERS GeoViewer (USGS 2021)
- Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, version 8.2 (USDA-NRCS 2018)
- Precipitation data from the California Data Exchange Center (DWR 2021)
- Western Regional Climate Center Monthly Precipitation Data (WRCC 2021)

These resources were used to inform field studies and provide background information for the delineation.

2.2 Field Assessment and Verification

AECOM biologists formally delineated the potential wetlands and other waters in the Project BSA on September 7, 8, and 15, 2021 (Table 2).

Table 2 Aquatic Resource Delineation Survey Dates and Personnel

Survey Type and Date	Personnel
September 7, 2021	Joe Bandel, Danny Slakey
September 8, 2021	Joe Bandel, Danny Slakey
September 15, 2021	Joe Bandel, Danny Slakey

2.2.1 Delineation of Wetlands

Wetlands were delineated in accordance with the routine onsite methodology described in the *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and using guidance from the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (Version 2.0): Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region* (USACE 2010). The USACE methodology for delineating wetlands relies on a three-parameter approach to determine whether an area is a potential jurisdictional wetland. The three parameters are hydric soil, wetland hydrology, and hydrophytic vegetation. Under normal circumstances (undisturbed conditions), a potential wetland must have positive wetland indicators of hydric soils, wetland hydrology, and a dominance of hydrophytic vegetation to be subject to jurisdiction under the CWA. Positive wetland indicators for these parameters include field indicators and published data (e.g., USDA-NRCS lists of hydric soils).

As noted in Chapter 1, CCC wetlands only require one parameter to be positive for wetland indicators. Accordingly, water features displaying only one positive wetland parameter were delineated as CCW. The following sections describe the general diagnostic characteristics and some of the typical positive wetland indicators for each parameter.

Hydric Soils

Soils are considered hydric if the soil is classified as hydric by NRCS or if field indicators associated with reducing soil conditions are present. NRCS defines a hydric soil as a soil that formed where conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding occurred long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper portion of the soil profile. Local and national soil surveys published by NRCS are used to determine the types of soil present in an area. National and local hydric soil lists provide a checklist of soil types that are classified as hydric. Field indicators of hydric soils are identified in the *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States: A Guide for Identifying and Delineating Hydric Soils* (USDA-NRCS 2018). Field indicators may also include organic hydric soils (or histisols); histic epipedons; sulfidic material; aquatic or peraquic moisture regimes; reduced soil conditions, as indicated by oxidized rhizospheres; soil color, including gleyed soils, soils with mottles, and/or low-matrix chroma; and iron and manganese concretions.

Wetland Hydrology

Wetland hydrology is defined as inundation or saturation in the upper 12 inches of the soil for at least 5 percent of the growing season in most years (Environmental Laboratory 1987). The growing season in the BSA is approximately 254 days, based on “frost-free days” (NRCS 1995a); 5 percent of the growing season is therefore approximately 13 days. Factors that influence hydrology include precipitation, topography, soil permeability, and plant cover. Primary indicators of wetland hydrology include inundation or saturation in the upper 12 inches, drift lines, sediment deposits, and drainage patterns. Secondary indicators include oxidized rhizospheres, water-

stained leaves, local soil survey data, and the facultative (FAC)-neutral test of vegetation.

Hydrophytic Vegetation

Jurisdictional wetlands are typically dominated by hydrophytic plant species; more than 50 percent of the dominant plant species have an indicator status of FAC, facultative wetland (FACW), or obligate (OBL) (Reed 1988). As defined by USACE (Environmental Laboratory 1987), hydrophytic vegetation is “the sum total of macrophytic plant life that occurs in areas where the frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation produce permanently or periodically saturated soils of sufficient duration to exert a controlling influence on the plant species present.” Definitions for each of the plant indicator statuses are included in Table 3.

Table 3 Plant Indicator Status Categories

Indicator Category	Indicator Symbol	Definition
Obligate Wetland Plants	OBL	These plants almost always occur in wetlands. With few exceptions, the plants (herbaceous or woody) are found in standing water or seasonally saturated soils (14 or more consecutive days) near the surface.
Facultative Wetland Plants	FACW	These plants usually occur in wetlands, but may occur in nonwetlands. They predominantly occur with hydric soils, often in geomorphic settings where water saturates the soils or floods the soil surface at least seasonally.
Facultative Plants	FAC	These plants occur in wetlands and nonwetlands. They can grow in hydric, mesic, or xeric habitats. The occurrence of these plants in different habitats represents responses to a variety of environmental variables other than just hydrology, such as shade tolerance, soil pH, and elevation, and they have a wide tolerance of soil moisture conditions.
Facultative Upland Plants	FACU	These plants usually occur in nonwetlands, but may occur in wetlands. They predominantly occur on drier or more mesic sites in geomorphic settings where water rarely saturates the soils or floods the soil surface seasonally.
Obligate Upland Plants	UPL	These plants almost never occur in wetlands. They occupy mesic to xeric nonwetland habitats. They almost never occur in standing water or saturated soils. Typical growth forms include herbaceous, shrubs, woody vines, and trees.

Source: USACE 2012

2.2.2 Delineating Other Waters of the United States

The locations and positions of potential OWUS in the BSA were determined during field surveys and informed by features in the NHD (USGS 2021) and the topography on the United States Geological Survey topographic quadrangle maps of the BSA. Potential OWUS were delineated based on the visible presence of an ordinary high-water mark, indicated by signs such as wrack lines, scour, debris build-up, and changes in the plant community.

2.2.3 Delineating Other State Waters and Riparian Woodlands

The WOS boundaries extend to the top of bank or to the outer dripline of the adjacent riparian woodland as a function of CDFW, RWQCB, and CCC jurisdiction (see Chapter 1). The extent of the top of bank or riparian woodland were mapped in the field, supplemented by aerial photography, topography, and vegetation mapping. All potential WOS that may be impacted by the Project were evaluated and mapped.

Stormwater features (e.g., ditches) in the BSA adjacent to proposed work areas were evaluated and mapped in the field as potential OWOS. Surveys focused on those stormwater features adjacent to drainage culverts that will be replaced or cleaned out as part of the Project.

Aquatic resources were evaluated for the presence of riparian woodlands. Riparian woodlands were determined by examining the tree species composition near aquatic resources and evaluating whether the species are locally adapted to occasional or permanent flooding or saturated soils. Trees such as willows, cottonwoods, sycamores, red alder, white alder, box elder, and creek dogwood are all characteristic of riparian corridors and were indicators used in the field. The dripline of these trees often depicted the outer edge of the riparian woodland.

2.2.4 Field Data Collection

The boundaries of all waters, including all wetlands and other waters potentially subject to federal or state jurisdiction, were mapped in the field using a sub-meter accuracy Global Positioning System unit. Data points were recorded at the locations where wetland and upland datasheets were completed in each of the wetlands in the BSA. Wetland boundaries were extrapolated and mapped in the field based on similar variations in vegetation, hydrology, and topography. Maps depicting the wetlands and waters in the BSA and wetland sample points are provided in Figure 5 and Figure 6. Photographs of jurisdictional features are provided in Appendix A. Copies of the Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast delineation data forms are provided in Appendix B. A complete list of the vascular plants identified in the Project is provided in Appendix C.



0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
San Mateo County, CA
PM 27.5/34.8
EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
Wetlands and Waters of the United States
Page 1 of 18



— Caltrans Right-of-way
••••• Project Footprint
- - - Biological Study Area (155.75 ac)



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021




AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States
 Page 3 of 18



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021





0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States
 Page 6 of 18



FIGURE 5
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States
 Page 7 of 18







0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States
 Page 11 of 18



Caltrans Right-of-way	Culverted Waters of the U.S. (CWUS)
Project Footprint	Culvert (0.12 ac)
Biological Study Area (155.75 ac)	Other Waters of the U.S. (OWUS)
	Ephemeral Riverine (0.05 ac)




AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053

AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States
 Page 13 of 18



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States
 Page 15 of 18



FIGURE 5
Wetlands and Waters of the United States
Page 16 of 18



— Caltrans Right-of-way
 ■ Project Footprint
 - - - Biological Study Area (155.75 ac)

0 150
 Feet



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
San Mateo County, CA
PM 27.5/34.8
EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053

AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 5
Wetlands and Waters of the United States
Page 18 of 18



AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 1 of 18



FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 2 of 18



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 6
Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
Page 3 of 18



AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 4 of 18



Caltrans Right-of-way	Culverted Waters of the State (CWOS)
Project Footprint	Culvert (0.05 ac)
Biological Study Area (155.75 ac)	



FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 5 of 18





0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 11 of 18






FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 14 of 18



AECOM
Caltrans District 4
 State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
 San Mateo County, CA
 PM 27.5/34.8
 EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053



AECOM, 2021
 Caltrans, 2021
 ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 15 of 18




FIGURE 6
 Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
 Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
 Page 16 of 18



AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021



0 150
Feet

AECOM
Caltrans District 4
State Route 1 Multi-Asset Roadway Rehabilitation Project
San Mateo County, CA
PM 27.5/34.8
EA 04-02130 / Project ID 0418000053

AECOM, 2021
Caltrans, 2021
ESRI Basemap/Imagery, 2016/2021

FIGURE 6
Wetlands and Waters of the United States,
Waters of the State, and Coastal Commission Wetlands
Page 18 of 18

2.3 Limitations that May Influence Results

All surface waters that were exposed and observable were surveyed and delineated. For select locations that had especially dense vegetation, contained poison oak, or were near cliffs and unsafe slopes, the waters were mapped digitally from a safer location with visibility of the feature. The digitally mapped features were further refined from topography data and aerial imagery. Culverts were mapped based on culvert entrances/exits that were visible during the survey. The culverts were assumed to be in a straight line between culvert entrances/exits on either side of the highway. Many of the culvert entrances/exits were buried and difficult to locate, and some may have been overlooked because they were buried and not visible. There were no aquatic features that were not delineated due to lack of permission to enter, because the BSA covered only areas accessible in the Caltrans ROW. Because underground culverts were inaccessible, they could not be sized accurately; therefore, the approximate acreages occupied by these underground features were not estimated. Length in feet is recorded for these underground features.

As described further in Chapter 1, the climate conditions for the BSA have been drier than normal for the past couple of years, leading to drought conditions in the area. These dry conditions are likely to have had an effect on observed conditions during the field surveys. Nonetheless, field surveys were supplemented with desktop review datasets, and indicators were observed and assessed taking into account the drier than normal conditions.

Chapter 3: Results

3.1 Potential Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters of the United States

Potential jurisdictional WOTUS in the BSA include perennial drainages, intermittent drainages, and wetlands that were delineated through the desktop and field methods described previously in Chapter 2. The estimated areas and lengths of the delineated potential jurisdictional WOTUS are listed in Table 4 and shown on Figure 5. All estimates of resources presented in this report are subject to change pending USACE official review and final jurisdictional determination.

The total area of potential WOTUS delineated in the BSA is 0.448 acre. Of this area, 0.439 acre is potential OWUS, and 0.009 acre is potential wetlands.

Table 4 summarizes the area and length of each potential jurisdictional WOTUS delineated in the BSA. Wetland features are identified by the water feature in which they are found, where applicable. All potential WOTUS are mapped in Figure 5.

3.1.1 Perennial, Ephemeral, and Intermittent Other Waters

The BSA contains perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral drainages that span SR 1 and are delineated as OWUS. The OWUS drainages are described below.

Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1): This perennial stream crosses SR 1 under a two-span bridge.

Unnamed Ephemeral Creek (OWUS-2): This ephemeral creek flows north directly to Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1).

Unnamed Ephemeral Creek (OWUS-3a): This ephemeral creek flows south into a culvert (CWUS-1).

Unnamed Ephemeral Creek (OWUS-3b): This ephemeral creek flows south from CWUS-1 to Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1).

Frenchman's Creek (OWUS-4a): This intermittent creek flows west to SR 1, at which point it enters a culvert (CWUS-3). OWUS-4a is surrounded by an arroyo willow riparian woodland.

Frenchman's Creek (OWUS-4b): This intermittent creek flows west from SR 1 (CWUS-3) toward the Pacific Ocean. The creek is surrounded by a willow and elderberry riparian woodland.

Unnamed Intermittent Creek (OWUS-5): This intermittent creek flows northwest under the pedestrian/bike path bridge to a culvert beneath SR 1 (CWUS-4).

Table 4 Potentially Jurisdictional Waters of the United States in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Length (feet)	Delineated Area (acres ¹)	Cowardin Type	Figure 5 Map Page Number
OWUS-1 Pilarcitos Creek	238	0.259	R2UB2	4
OWUS-2 Ephemeral Drainage to Pilarcitos Creek	135	0.015	R6	4
OWUS-3a Ephemeral Drainage to Pilarcitos Creek	122	0.021	R6	4
OWUS-3b Ephemeral Drainage to Pilarcitos Creek	18	0.003	R6	4
OWUS-4a Frenchman's (Intermittent) Creek (Upstream of SR 1)	109	0.027	R4SB4	7
OWUS-4b Frenchman's (Intermittent) Creek (Downstream of SR 1)	44	0.010	R4SB4	7
OWUS-5 Unnamed Intermittent Creek	120	0.025	R4SB5	8
OWUS-6a Unnamed Intermittent Creek (Upstream of SR 1)	92	0.008	R4SB7	9
OWUS-6b Unnamed Intermittent Creek (Downstream of SR 1)	12	0.001	R4SB7	9
OWUS-7a Arroyo de en Medio (Intermittent) Creek (Upstream of SR 1)	72	0.009	R4SB7	9
OWUS-7b Arroyo de en Medio (Intermittent) Creek (Downstream of SR 1)	29	0.003	R4SB7	9
OWUS-8 Unnamed Ephemeral Creek	76	0.009	R6	12
OWUS-9a Denniston (Intermittent) Creek (Upstream of SR 1)	89	0.015	R4SB5	14
OWUS-9b Denniston (Intermittent) Creek (Downstream of SR 1)	185	0.032	R4SB5	14
Subtotal OWUS	1,338	0.439	—	—
WWUS-1 Seasonal Freshwater Wetland Western Rush Marsh	71	0.008	PEM2	16
WWUS-2 Instream Freshwater Wetland-Water Parsley Marsh	15	0.001	R2EM	9
Subtotal WWUS	86	0.009	—	—
Total Potential WOTUS (OWUS + WWUS)	1,424	0.448	—	—

Notes:

1. Acres are rounded to the nearest thousandth of an acre.

OWUS = other waters of the United States

SR = State Route

WOTUS = waters of the United States

WWUS = wetland waters of the United States

Source: AECOM Field Surveys 2021

Unnamed Intermittent Creek (OWUS-6a): This intermittent creek flows west to SR 1 (CWUS-5) and is surrounded by an arroyo willow riparian woodland.

Unnamed Intermittent Creek (OWUS-6b): This intermittent creek flows west from the instream wetland (WWUS-2) toward the Pacific Ocean and is surrounded by a willow riparian woodland.

Arroyo de en Medio Creek (OWUS-7a): This intermittent creek flows west and crosses under SR 1 (CWUS-6). It is surrounded by willow/elderberry riparian woodland.

Arroyo de en Medio Creek (OWUS-7b): This intermittent creek flows west from CWUS-6 toward the Pacific Ocean. The creek is surrounded by willow/dogwood riparian woodland.

Unnamed Ephemeral Creek (OWUS-8): This ephemeral creek flows west and enters a culvert under SR 1 (CWUS-8).

Denniston Creek (OWUS-9a): This intermittent creek flows west and enters a culvert under SR 1 (CWUS-9). It is surrounded by a willow riparian woodland.

Denniston Creek (OWUS-9b): This intermittent creek flows west from CWUS-9 toward the Pacific Ocean and is surrounded by a willow riparian woodland.

3.1.2 Wetlands

Seasonal Freshwater Wetland-Western Rush Marsh (WWUS-1): This wetland (0.008 acre, 344 square feet) occurs in a drainage ditch along the southbound side of SR 1. The wetland is dominated by western rush (*Juncus patens*) and nutsedge (*Cyperus eragrostis*), which are two FACW plant species; it also includes curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), willow dock (*Rumex salicifolius*), saltgrass (*Distichlis spicata*), and Bristly ox-tongue, which are FACW or FAC plant species.

Instream Freshwater Wetland-Water Parsley Marsh (WWUS-2): This instream wetland (0.001 acre, 57 square feet) occurs between the culvert (CWUS-5) and the willow riparian woodland of the unnamed intermittent creek flowing west toward the Pacific Ocean. This wetland is dominated by water parsley (*Oenanthe sarmentosa*), dotted smartweed (*Persicaria punctata*), watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*), and stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*). Most of the dominant plants are OBL.

3.2 Culverted Waters

The BSA contains 576 linear feet of culverts or other engineered structures that are either culverted throughout the length of the BSA or were inaccessible due to highway/roadway infrastructure in the BSA. The entrances/exits of the culverts were mapped in the field, and then the assumed culvert location was digitized to connect between the culvert openings. The features convey potentially jurisdictional WOTUS and are therefore potentially jurisdictional. Table 5 provides the lengths of the potentially jurisdictional CWUS in the BSA that were not delineated. All CWUS in the BSA are shown on the maps in Figure 5.

Table 5 Culverted Waters of the United States in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Length (feet) ¹	Figure 5 Map Page Number
CWUS-1 Culverted Waters	11	4
CWUS-2 Culverted Waters	146	7
CWUS-3 Culverted Waters	84	8
CWUS-4 Culverted Waters	44	9
CWUS-5 Culverted Waters	93	9
CWUS-6 Culverted Waters	86	12
CWUS-7 Culverted Waters	112	14
Total Potential CWUS	576	—

Notes:

1. The length in linear feet for each feature was estimated based on aerial maps and the NHD.

CWUS = culverted waters of the United States

Source: AECOM Field Survey 2021

3.3 Potential Coastal Commission Wetlands and Other Waters of the State

There were 4.300 acres of potential coastal commission wetlands delineated in the BSA, in addition to the jurisdictional wetlands and OWUS, which are also considered coastal commission wetlands. These wetlands occur in areas that were found to be dominated by willows and riparian vegetation but lacked one of the three parameters required to be a USACE jurisdictional wetland. Figure 6 shows the potential coastal commission wetlands in the BSA that were delineated. Table 6 provides the total area of potential wetlands and riparian areas subject to CCC jurisdiction in the BSA that were delineated.

Table 6 Coastal Commission Wetlands in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Delineated Area (acres ²)	Figure 6 Map Page Numbers
Coastal Commission Wetlands/Riparian Woodland	4.300	4, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17

The BSA contains another 841 linear feet of culverts that do not potentially convey jurisdictional WOTUS, but potentially convey WOS. These culverts are generally connected to drainage ditches, swales, or drain low spots in a relatively flat plain. Drainage ditches that do not have a requisite bed and bank and are man-made stormwater features are delineated as OWUS. Table 7 provides the potential CWOS in the BSA that were delineated, and Table 8 provides the potential OWOS in the BSA that were delineated. Figure 6 shows the potential CWOS and OWOS in the BSA that were delineated.

Table 7 Culverted Waters of the State in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Length (feet) ¹	Figure 6 Map Page Number (s)
CWOS-1 Culverted Waters	80	2
CWOS-2 Culverted Waters	80	2
CWOS-3 Culverted Waters	112	2, 3
CWOS-4 Culverted Waters	82	3
CWOS-5 Culverted Waters	152	5
CWOS-6 Culverted Waters	69	6
CWOS-7 Culverted Waters	89	10
CWOS-8 Culverted Waters	122	13
CWOS-9 Culverted Waters	55	16
Total Potential Culverted Waters of the State	841	—

Notes:

1. The length in linear feet for each feature was measured from one culvert opening to the next opening.

CWOS = culverted waters of the State

Source: AECOM Field Survey 2021

Table 8 Other Waters of the State in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Length (feet) ¹	Delineated Area (acres ²)	Figure 6 Map Page Number
OWOS-1a Drainage Ditch	121	0.012	6
OWOS-1b Drainage Ditch	49	0.007	6
OWOS-2 Drainage Ditch	94	0.009	9
OWOS-3 Drainage Ditch	105	0.012	10
OWOS-4 Drainage Ditch	18	0.002	16
OWOS-5 Drainage Ditch	102	0.009	16
OWOS-6a Drainage Ditch	14	0.001	13
OWOS-6b Drainage Ditch	169	0.021	13
OWOS-7 Drainage Ditch	97	0.013	17
Total Potential Other Waters of the State	769	0.086	—

Notes:

1. Linear feet are rounded to the nearest foot

2. Acres are rounded to the nearest thousandth of an acre.

OWOS = other waters of the State

Source: AECOM Field Survey 2021

Chapter 4: Summary of Findings

Approximately 4.834 acres of aquatic resources were delineated for the Project. Of those aquatic resources, 0.448 acre was delineated as WOTUS. This included wetlands and other waters delineated that are potentially subject to USACE jurisdiction under CWA Section 404, but also jurisdictional to the state agencies. Approximately 4.386 acres of these aquatic resources are not likely subject to USACE jurisdiction, but are expected to be subject to the jurisdiction of CCC, RWQCB, and/or CDFW. Approximately 576 linear feet of CWUS and 841 linear feet of CWOS were also delineated and mapped only in linear feet and not acreage. Table 9 and Table 10 summarize the delineated aquatic resources in the Project BSA and lists the federal and state agencies that may have jurisdiction over these features.

Table 9 Jurisdictional Status of Aquatic Resources in Waters of the United States in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Federal and State Agencies with Jurisdiction	Potential Applicable Federal and State Laws	Length (feet) ¹	Delineated Area (acres) ²
WWUS	USACE, RWQCB, CCC	CWA Sections 404 and 401; CCA	86	0.009
OWUS	USACE, RWQCB, CDFW, CCC	CWA Sections 404 and 401; CCA, FGC 1602	1,338	0.439
CWUS	USACE, RWQCB, CDFW	CWA Sections 404 and 401; CCA, FGC 1602	576	--
Total WOTUS			2,000	0.448

Notes:

1. Linear feet are rounded to the nearest foot.
2. Acres are rounded to the nearest thousandth of an acre.

CCA = Coastal Commission Act
 CCC = California Coastal Commission
 CDFW = California Department of Fish and Wildlife
 CWA = Clean Water Act
 CWUS = culverted waters of the United States
 FGC = Fish and Game Code
 OWUS = other waters of the United States
 RWQCB = Regional Water Quality Control Board
 USACE = United States Army Corps of Engineers
 WOTUS = waters of the United States
 WWUS = wetlands of the United States

Table 10 Jurisdictional Status of Aquatic Resources in Waters of the State in the Biological Study Area

Feature Type	Federal and State Agencies with Jurisdiction	Potential Applicable Federal and State Laws	Length (feet) ¹	Delineated Area (acres) ²
CCW/Riparian Woodlands ³	CCC, RWQCB, CDFW	CCA, FGC 1602	—	4.30
OWOS	RWQCB	PCA	769	0.086
CWOS	RWQCB	PCA	841	—
Total waters of the State (includes WOTUS)			3,610	4.834

Notes:

1. Linear feet are rounded to the nearest foot.
2. Acres are rounded to the nearest thousandth of an acre.
3. For this delineation, all CCW (non-WOTUS) also happened to occur in riparian woodlands. Because they had a predominance of hydrophytic vegetation, the riparian woodlands had at least one-parameter to constitute a CCW, and also are under the jurisdiction of RWQCB and CDFW.

CCA = Coastal Commission Act
 CCC = California Coastal Commission
 CCW = Coastal Commission Wetland
 CDFW = California Department of Fish and Wildlife
 CWOS = culverted waters of the State
 FGC = Fish and Game Code
 OWOS = other waters of the State
 PCA = Porter-Cologne Act
 RWQCB = Regional Water Quality Control Board
 WOTUS = waters of the United States

Chapter 5: References

- CCC (California Coastal Commission). 2021. California Coastal Zone Boundary Maps for San Mateo County. Available online at: <https://www.coastal.ca.gov/maps/czb/>. Accessed September 30, 2021.
- CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2021. List of California Vegetation Alliances. Department of Fish and Game Biogeographic Data Branch Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program. August 18, 2021. Sacramento, California.
- Columbia University. 2020. Climate-Driven Megadrought Is Emerging in Western U.S., Says Study. April 16. Available online at: <https://news.climate.columbia.edu/2020/04/16/climate-driven-megadrought-emerging-western-u-s/>. Accessed September 30, 2021.
- DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2021. California Data Exchange Center, Precipitation Data. Available online at: https://cdec.water.ca.gov/snow_rain.html. Accessed September 30, 2021.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Engineers Waterways Experiment Station.
- EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. Current Implementation of Waters of the United States. Available online at: <https://www.epa.gov/wotus/current-implementation-waters-united-states>. Accessed September 15, 2021.
- Fuchs, Brian. 2021. United States Drought Monitor: California. Map released: Thurs. September 30, 2021. Available online at: <https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/CurrentMap/StateDroughtMonitor.aspx?CA>. Accessed September 30, 2021.
- NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). 1995a. WETS Table Documentation. Portland, Oregon. Available online at: http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/climate/wets_doc.html.
- NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). 1995b. Hydric Soils of California.
- NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). 2021. Web Soil Survey. Available online at: <http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/>.
- Reed, P.B. 1988. National List of Plant Species That Occur in Wetlands: California (Region 0). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biology Report 88 (26.10). 135 pp.
- USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valley and Coast Region (Version 2.0), eds. J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-3. Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Aquatic Resource Delineation

- USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2012. National Wetland Plant List Indicator Rating Definitions. Eds. R.W. Lichvar, N.C. Melvin, M.L. Butterwick, and W.N. Kirchner. ERDC/CRREL TN-12-1. Wetland Regulatory Assistance Program, Washington D.C.
- USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers) and EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2020. The Navigable Waters Protection Rule: Definition of “Waters of the United States. Federal Register, Vol. 85, No. 77. Rules and Regulations. April 21.
- USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers) and EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. Memorandum for the Record: Review of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ORM2 Permit and Jurisdictional Determination Data to Assess Effects of the Navigable Waters Protection Rule. June 9. Available online at: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-06/documents/3_final_memorandum_for_record_on_review_of_data_web_508c.pdf.
- USDA-NRCS (United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service). 2018. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States: A Guide for Identifying and Delineating Hydric Soils. Version 8.2. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and C.V. Noble (eds.). USDA, NRCS in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils. Available online at: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_053171.pdf.
- USFWS (United States Fish and Wildlife Service). 2021. National Wetlands Inventory. Available online at: <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/>.
- USGS (United States Geological Survey). 2021. USGS, in cooperation with the United States Environmental Protection Agency. National Hydrography Dataset (NHD).
- WRCC (Western Regional Climate Center). 2021. Monthly Precipitation Data for San Jose. Available online at: <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu>. Accessed September 30, 2021

Appendix A – Photographs of Representative Wetlands and Waters in the Biological Study Area

SR 1 Field Survey Photographs

Pilarcitos Creek (Photographs taken during reconnaissance survey on December 4, 2019)



Photograph 1: Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1) under SR 1 southbound bridge.



Photograph 2: Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1) facing the SR 1 northbound bridge.

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 3: Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1) under the SR 1 northbound bridge.



Photograph 4: Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1) upstream of the SR 1 northbound bridge.

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 5. View of Pilarcitos Creek (OWUS-1) from SR 1 median.



Photographs 6 and 7: Riparian corridor for Frenchman's Creek (OWUS-4a) upstream of SR 1

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 8: Looking down at Frenchman's Creek (OWUS-4a) from SR 1




Photograph 9: Looking downstream at riparian corridor of Frenchman's Creek (OWUS-4b) from the bike path

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 10: Looking downstream at riparian corridor of Frenchman's Creek (OWUS-4b) from the bike path



Photographs 11 and 12: Unnamed Intermittent Creek (OWUS-5) on east side of SR 1.

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 13: Double culvert (CWUS-3) for Unnamed Intermittent Creek (OWUS-5) on east side of SR 1.



Photographs 14 and 15: Unnamed intermittent creek (OWUS-6a) east of SR 1 surrounded by willow thicket.

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 17: Culvert (CWUS-4) for unnamed intermittent creek west of SR 1

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photographs 18 and 19: Instream wetland (WWUS-2) dominated by water parsley (*Oenanthe sarmentosa*) and dotted smartweed (*Persicaria punctata*).

Arroyo de en Medio Creek



Photographs 20 and 21: Arroyo de en Medio intermittent creek (OWUS-7a) upstream of SR 1.

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photographs 22 and 23: Culvert (CWUS- 5) for Arroyo de en Medio Creek (upstream side).



Photographs 24 and 25: Culvert (CWUS- 5) for Arroyo de en Medio Creek (downstream side).

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photographs 26 and 27: Arroyo de en Medio intermittent creek (OWUS-7b) downstream of SR 1.

Ephemeral Creek (OWUS-8)



Photographs 28 and 29: Ephemeral creek (OWUS-8) leading to cross culvert (CWUS-6) under SR 1.

Denniston Creek (OWUS-9a, OWUS-9b)



Photographs 30 and 31: Willow riparian of Denniston Creek (OWUS-9a) east of SR 1.



Photograph 32: Streambed of Denniston Creek (OWUS-9b) next to the culvert (CWUS-7) headwall.

Aquatic Resource Delineation



Photograph 33: Willow riparian of Denniston Creek (OWUS-9b) next to the guardrail of SR 1.



Photographs 34 and 35: Depressional freshwater wetland (WWUS-1) in roadside ditch area.

Appendix B – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Datasheet Forms

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: SM-1 00130 City/County: San Mateo ^{Half Moon Bay} Sampling Date: 9/17/21
 Applicant/Owner: Caltrans State: CA Sampling Point: WET-UP-1
 Investigator(s): Joe Bunde, Danny Slafey Section, Township, Range: T5S, R5W
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): ditch Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR): A: Northwest Forest Coast Lat: 37.51767 Long: -122.501 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: DCA Osion clay loam, nearly level NWM classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Remarks:			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>5m x 5m</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>43</u> (A)	
1. <u>Salix lasiolepis</u>	<u>890</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
2. _____				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.75%</u> (A/B)	
3. _____				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
4. _____				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
= Total Cover				OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = _____	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				FACW species <u>100</u> x 2 = <u>200</u>	
1. <u>Frangula californica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	FAC species <u>8</u> x 3 = <u>24</u>	
2. <u>Rubus ursinus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>	FACW species <u>35</u> x 4 = <u>140</u>	
3. _____				UPL species <u>3</u> x 5 = <u>15</u>	
4. _____				Column Totals: <u>146</u> (A) <u>379</u> (B)	
5. _____				Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u>	
= Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
1. <u>Juncus patens</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
2. <u>Carex 1 subtractata</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
3. <u>Malva parviflora</u>				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
4. <u>Cortaderia jubata</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>	5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹	
5. <u>Symphoricarpos chilense</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
6. <u>"FREESIA"</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
7. _____					
8. _____					
9. _____					
10. _____					
11. _____					
= Total Cover					
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
1. _____					
2. _____					
= Total Cover					
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>~40%</u>					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: VP-1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-16	7.5YR 3/2	100					Loamy clay	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)		

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No

Remarks: Matrix was uniform throughout. There were no redox concentrations or soft mass pore linings. The color was not quite dark enough to be a depleted matrix. The values & changes were not there.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		

Field Observations:
 Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____
 Water Table Present? Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____
 Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____
 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: The wetland hydrology indicators were not readily apparent. Due to the geomorphic position of the area and the prevalence of hydrophytes this area, is sufficient for hydrology.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Hwy 1 00130 City/County: Half Moon Bay/San Mateo Sampling Date: 9/7/2021
 Applicant/Owner: Caltrans State: CA Sampling Point: WL2
 Investigator(s): Joe Bandel, Danny Stacey Section, Township, Range: TSS, R5W
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): roadside ditch Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5% (banks)
 Subregion (LRR): A: North West Forest Coast Lat: 37.51609 Long: -122.498 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: DcA Desion clay loam, nearly level NWI classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Remarks:			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1. _____				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>2</u> (A)
2. _____				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	<u>2</u> (B)
3. _____				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>100</u> (A/B)
4. _____				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
_____ = Total Cover				Total % Cover of	Multiply by:
Sampling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u>	
1. _____				FACW species <u>33</u> x 2 = <u>66</u>	
2. _____				FAC species <u>9.5</u> x 3 = <u>28.5</u>	
3. _____				FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u>	
4. _____				UPL species <u>1</u> x 5 = <u>5</u>	<u>99.5</u>
5. _____				Column Totals: <u>42.5</u> (A) <u>100.5</u> (B)	
_____ = Total Cover				Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.36</u> <u>2.29</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>2m x 20m</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
1. <u>Rumex salicifolius</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
2. <u>Rumex crispus</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
3. <u>Cyperus eragrostis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ¹	
4. <u>Juncus patens</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
5. <u>Symphoricarpos chilense</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹	
6. <u>Helianthus scaberrimus</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
7. <u>Festuca perennis</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
8. <u>Dicentra spicata</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>		
9. <u>Epilobium densiflorum</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>		
10. _____					
11. _____					
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)					
1. _____					
2. _____					
_____ = Total Cover					
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>62.5.5</u>					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WL-2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR6/2	100					clay	
4-8	10YR3/3	100					loamy clay	
8-12	10YR5/2	100					sandy loam	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks: The first layer 0-4 inches has a chroma of 2 or less and is a minimum of 2 inches thick. Therefore it is a depleted matrix

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Evident surface soil cracks + a sparsely vegetated concave surface were observed

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 00130 HWY 1 City/County: Half Moon Bay / San Mateo Sampling Date: 9/7/2021
 Applicant/Owner: Caltrans State: CA Sampling Point: UP2
 Investigator(s): Joe Bandel, Danny Stakey Section, Township, Range: T5S, R5W
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): roadside slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): flat slope Slope (%): 3%
 Subregion (LRR): A: Northwest Forest + Grass Lat: 37.51611 Long: -122.498 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: DcA Peason clay loam, nearly level NWM classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. _____				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A)
2. _____				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
3. _____				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0%</u> (A/B)
4. _____				
= Total Cover				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>5m x 20m</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. <u>Baccharis pilularis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>UPL</u>	Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____
2. <u>Fragula californica</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u>
3. _____				FACW species <u>2</u> x 2 = <u>4</u>
4. _____				FAC species <u>3</u> x 3 = <u>9</u>
5. _____				FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u>
= Total Cover				UPL species <u>93</u> x 5 = <u>465</u>
				Column Totals: <u>98</u> (A) <u>478</u> (B)
				Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.88</u>
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5m x 20m</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1. <u>Avena barbata</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>UPL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2. <u>Hirschfeldia incana</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>UPL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
3. <u>Festuca perennis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>UPL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
4. <u>Helminthotheca echioides</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5. <u>Rumex salicifolius</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
6. _____				<input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
7. _____				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8. _____				
9. _____				
10. _____				
11. _____				
= Total Cover				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1. _____				
2. _____				
= Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>12</u>				
Remarks:				

SOIL

Sampling Point: UP-2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR5/2	100					Sandy loam	
4-12	10YR4/2	100					clay loam	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) | <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) | <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) | <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) | <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) | <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) | <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) | ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) | <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8) | |

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No

Remarks: No signs of hydric soils present

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) | <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) | <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) | <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) | <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) | <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) | <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) | <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) | <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) | <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) | <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) | <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) | <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | |

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No signs of hydrology present.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Hwy 1 00130 City/County: Half Moon Bay, San Mateo Sampling Date: 9/15/21
 Applicant/Owner: Caltrans State: CA Sampling Point: WL3
 Investigator(s): Joe Bauder, Danny Skocex Section, Township, Range: T55, R5W
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): depression on plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): ?
 Subregion (LRR): Northwest Forest + Coast IA Lat: 37.49172 Long: -122.452 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: FCB Ferraone coarse sandy loam, gently sloping NWM classification: Freshwater Shrub Wetland
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>			
Remarks:					

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1. <u>None</u>				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>4</u> (A)
2. _____				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	<u>4</u> (B)
3. _____				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>100%</u> (A/B)
4. _____				= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)					
1. <u>Rubus ursinus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>		Prevalence Index worksheet:	
2. _____				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3. _____				OBL species <u>4</u>	x 1 = <u>4</u>
4. _____				FACW species <u>7</u>	x 2 = <u>14</u>
5. _____				FAC species <u>12</u>	x 3 = <u>36</u>
				FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
				UPL species <u>2</u>	x 5 = <u>10</u>
				Column Totals: <u>69</u> (A)	<u>102</u> (B)
				Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.62</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>2m x 3m</u>)					
1. <u>Persicaria punctata</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>OBL</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
2. <u>Oenanthe sarmentosa</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>OBL</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
3. <u>Nasturtium officinale</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>OBL</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
4. <u>Lemna sp.</u>	<u><1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>OBL</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ¹	
5. <u>Cannam maculatum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
6. <u>Urtica dioica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FAC</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹	
7. <u>Tropaeolum majus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
8. <u>Epilobium ciliatum</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
9. <u>Cyperus eragrostis</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACW</u>		
10. <u>Rubus ursinus</u>	<u>2</u>				
11. _____				= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>3x3m</u>)					
1. <u>Rubus ursinus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
2. _____					
				= Total Cover	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WL3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR3/2	100					loamy sand	
4-16	10YR4/3	100					silty loam, very high organic muck sandy loamy sand	

- ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix
- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) | | Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) | <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) | <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) | <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) | <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) | <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) | <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) | ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) | <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8) | | |

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____
 Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks: High percentage of muck material in top 4 inches in sandy soils. Qualifies as a Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) hydric soil indicator.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <u>Semi-satur</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations:
 Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____
 Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____
 Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No Depth (inches): _____
 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: other hydro indicators; semi-saturated sandy soil
 Live Lemna plants on soil surface indicate recent surface water.
 Passes FAC-Neutral Test and maintains a low spot where water drains

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 00130 Hwy 1 City/County: Half Moon Bay / San Mateo Sampling Date: 9/15/21
 Applicant/Owner: Caltanas State: CA Sampling Point: UP3
 Investigator(s): Joe Bantel, Danny Slakey Section, Township, Range: T5S, R5W
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2
 Subregion (LRR): A: Northwest Forest + Coast Lat: 37.49173 Long: -122.452 Datum: NAD 83
 Soil Map Unit Name: E6 Facallone coarse sandy loam, gently sloping NWM classification: None
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____) <u>N/A</u>	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. _____				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A)
2. _____				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B)
3. _____				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0%</u> (A/B)
4. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				
Sampling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____) <u>N/A</u>	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. _____				Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____
2. _____				OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u>
3. _____				FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u>
4. _____				FAC species <u>1</u> x 3 = <u>3</u>
5. _____				FACU species <u>3</u> x 4 = <u>12</u>
_____ = Total Cover				UPL species <u>29</u> x 5 = <u>145</u>
				Column Totals: <u>33</u> (A) <u>159</u> (B)
				Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.85</u>
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>2m x 3m</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1. <u>Eriogonum fasciculatum</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>UPL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2. <u>Rumex crispus</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
3. <u>Lactuca serriola</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACU</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
4. <u>Malva sp.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5. <u>Hirschfeldia incana</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
6. <u>Eriogonum glaucus</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACU</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
7. <u>Sonchus asper</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FACU</u>	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8. <u>Fumaria capreolata</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	
9. <u>Festuca perennis</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>UPL</u>	
10. _____				
11. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?
1. _____				Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____ = Total Cover				

Remarks: Preponderance of Upland Plants

SOIL

Sampling Point: UP3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-9	10YR4/3						Loam	
9-16	10YR4/2						Silty loam	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No

Remarks: No hydric soil indicators present

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes _____ No Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: _____

Remarks: No signs or indicators of hydrology present

Appendix C – Plant List

Aquatic Resource Delineation

Scientific Name	Common Name	Native Status	WMVC Wetland Rating¹	Cal-IPC Rating²
<i>Acacia melanoxylon</i>	blackwood acacia	nonnative		Limited
<i>Agapanthus africanus</i>	lily of the Nile	nonnative		
<i>Albizia julibrissin</i>	silktree	nonnative		
<i>Aloe</i> sp. ³	unknown aloe	nonnative		
<i>Amaranthus powellii</i>	Powell's amaranth	native		
<i>Amaryllis belladonna</i>	naked lady	nonnative		
<i>Apiaceae</i> sp. ⁴	unknown carrot family	unknown		
<i>Artemisia californica</i>	coastal sage brush	native		
<i>Artemisia douglasiana</i>	California mugwort	native	FACW	
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i>	fat-hen	nonnative	FAC	
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i>	coyote brush	native		
<i>Brassica nigra</i>	black mustard	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Brassica oleracea</i>	cabbage	nonnative		
<i>Bromus catharticus</i>	rescue grass	nonnative		
<i>Bromus madritensis</i>	foxtail chess	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i>	shepherd's purse	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Cardamine oligosperma</i>	Idaho bittercress	native	FAC	
<i>Carduus pycnocephalus</i>	Italian thistle	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Carex subbracteata</i>	small bract sedge	native	FACW	
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i>	iceplant	nonnative		High
<i>Ceanothus</i> sp. ^{3,4}	unknown California lilac	native		
<i>Chasmanthe floribunda</i>	chasmanthe	nonnative		
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	lambs quarters	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	bullthistle	nonnative	FACU	Moderate
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	poison hemlock	nonnative	FAC	Moderate
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	field bindweed	nonnative		
<i>Cornus sericea</i>	American dogwood	native		
<i>Cortaderia jubata</i>	Andean pampas grass	nonnative	FACU	High
<i>Cotoneaster franchetii</i>	cotoneaster	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Bermuda grass	nonnative	FACU	Moderate
<i>Cynosurus echinatus</i>	dogtail grass	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Cyperus eragrostis</i>	tall cyperus	native	FACW	
<i>Daucus carota</i>	carrot	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Delairea odorata</i>	cape ivy	nonnative		High
<i>Dipsacus sativus</i>	indian teasel	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	salt grass	native	FACW	

Aquatic Resource Delineation

Scientific Name	Common Name	Native Status	WMVC Wetland Rating ¹	Cal-IPC Rating ²
<i>Ehrharta erecta</i>	upright veldt grass	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Epilobium canum</i>	California fuchsia, zauschneria	native		
<i>Epilobium ciliatum</i>	slender willow herb	native	FACW	
<i>Epilobium densiflorum</i>	willow herb	native	FACW	
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	common horsetail	native	FAC	
<i>Erigeron glaucus</i>	seaside daisy	native	FACU	
<i>Erigeron sumatrensis</i>	tropical horseweed	nonnative		
<i>Eschscholzia californica</i>	California poppy	native		
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	blue gum	nonnative		Limited
<i>Euthamia occidentalis</i>	western goldenrod	native	FACW	
<i>Festuca bromoides</i>	brome fescue	nonnative		
<i>Festuca perennis</i>	Italian rye grass	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	fennel	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Fragaria chiloensis</i>	beach strawberry	native	FACU	
<i>Frangula californica</i>	California coffeeberry	native		
<i>Fumaria capreolata</i>	white ramping fumitory	nonnative		
<i>Geranium core-core</i>	Alderney crane's-bill	nonnative		
<i>Geranium dissectum</i>	wild geranium	nonnative		Limited
<i>Geranium robertianum</i>	Robert's geranium	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Glebionis coronaria</i>	crown daisy	nonnative		Limited
<i>Grindelia stricta</i> var. <i>platyphylla</i>	gumplant	native	FACW	
<i>Hedera helix</i>	English ivy	nonnative	FACU	High
<i>Hesperocyparis macrocarpa</i> ⁵	Monterey cypress	nonnative		
<i>Hirschfeldia incana</i>	mustard	nonnative		Moderate
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	common velvetgrass	nonnative	FAC	Moderate
<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	hairy cats ear	nonnative	FACU	Moderate
<i>Ipomoea purpurea</i>	common morning glory	nonnative	UPL	
<i>Juncus hesperius</i>	coast or bog rush	native		
<i>Juncus patens</i>	rush	native	FACW	
<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	prickly lettuce	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Lemna minor</i>	smaller duckweed	native	OBL	
<i>Leptospermum laevigatum</i>	Australian tea tree	nonnative		
<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	glossy privet	nonnative		Limited
<i>Linum bienne</i>	flax	nonnative		

Aquatic Resource Delineation

Scientific Name	Common Name	Native Status	WMVC Wetland Rating¹	Cal-IPC Rating²
<i>Lobularia maritima</i>	sweet alyssum	nonnative		Limited
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	bird's foot trefoil	nonnative	FAC	
<i>Lupinus arboreus</i>	coastal bush lupine	native		
<i>Lythrum hyssopifolia</i>	hyssop loosestrife	nonnative		
<i>Madia sativa</i>	coastal tarweed	native		
<i>Malva</i> sp. ⁴	unknown mallow	unknown		
<i>Matricaria discoidea</i>	pineapple weed	native	FACU	
<i>Mercurialis annua</i>	annual mercury	nonnative		
<i>Muhlenbergia rigens</i> ³	deergrass	native	UPL	
<i>Myoporum laetum</i>	ngaio tree	nonnative	UPL	Moderate
<i>Nasturtium officinale</i>	watercress	native	OBL	
<i>Oenanthe sarmentosa</i>	water parsley	native	OBL	
<i>Oenothera elata</i> ssp. <i>hookeri</i>	evening primrose	native	FACW	
<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	tuna	nonnative		
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i>	creeping wood sorrel	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Kikuyu grass	nonnative		Limited
<i>Phacelia malvifolia</i>	stinging phacelia	native		
<i>Phacelia</i> sp. ⁴	unknown phacelia	native		
<i>Phalaris aquatica</i>	Harding grass	nonnative	FACU	Moderate
<i>Pinus radiata</i> ⁵	Monterey pine	native		
<i>Pinus</i> sp. ⁴	unknown pine	unknown		
<i>Plantago coronopus</i>	cut leaf plantain	nonnative	FAC	
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	ribwort	nonnative	FACU	Limited
<i>Platanus racemosa</i>	California sycamore	native	FACW	
<i>Polygonum aviculare</i> ssp. <i>depressum</i>	prostrate knotweed	nonnative	FAC	
<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	jointed charlock	nonnative		Limited
<i>Rosa</i> sp. ³	ornamental rose	nonnative		
<i>Rubus armeniacus</i>	Himalayan blackberry	nonnative	FAC	High
<i>Rubus ursinus</i>	California blackberry	native	FACU	
<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	sheep sorrel	nonnative	FACU	Moderate
<i>Rumex transitorius</i>	willow dock	native	FACW	
<i>Salix lasiolepis</i>	arroyo willow	native	FACW	
<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	red elderberry	native	FACU	
<i>Scabiosa atropurpurea</i>	pincushions	nonnative		Watch
<i>Scirpus microcarpus</i>	mountain bog bulrush	native	OBL	

Aquatic Resource Delineation

Scientific Name	Common Name	Native Status	WMVC Wetland Rating ¹	Cal-IPC Rating ²
<i>Scrophularia californica</i>	California bee plant	native	FAC	
<i>Senecio vulgaris</i>	common groundsel	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Solanum</i> sp. ⁴	unknown nightshade	unknown		
<i>Sonchus asper</i>	spiny sowthistle	nonnative	FACU	
<i>Stachys</i> sp. ⁴	unknown hedgenettle	native		
<i>Symphotrichum chilense</i>	pacific aster	native	FAC	
<i>Toxicodendron diversilobum</i>	poison oak	native	FAC	
<i>Tropaeolum majus</i>	garden nasturtium	nonnative	UPL	
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	stinging nettle	native	FAC	
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	spring vetch	nonnative	UPL	
<i>Viola</i> sp.	unknown violet	unknown		
<i>Woodwardia fimbriata</i>	western chain fern	native	FACW	
<i>Zantedeschia aethiopica</i>	calla lily	nonnative	OBL	Limited

Notes:

- ¹ WMVC wetland rankings are defined as follows: WET (wetland obligate), FACW (faculative wetland), FAC (facultative upland), FACU (facultative upland), UPL (upland). Plants without a wetland indicator ranking are assumed to be upland species.
- ² Cal-IPC invasive plant rankings are defined as follows: High (species with severe ecological impacts), Moderate (substantial and apparent, but not severe, ecological impacts), Limited (minor ecological impacts, or information on them is limited), Watch (at a high risk of becoming invasive in the future).
- ³ Ornamental landscape or cultivated agricultural crops.
- ⁴ Plants that could not be identified to the species level, most due to not being in flower or fruit at the time of survey.
- ⁵ Plants that are native to California but not native to San Mateo County; they are therefore considered nonnative in this report.